



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

WRIT PETITION No. 4921 of 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the Constitution
of People's Republic of Bangladesh.

and

IN THE MATTER OF:

Binapani Roy and another

..... petitioners

-Versus-

The Government of the People's Republic of
Bangladesh and others.

..... Respondents

Mr. Syed Abdullah Al-Mamoon, Advocate

..... For the Petitioners

Mr. Tushar Kanti Roy, D.A.G. with

Mr. Md. Salim Azad, A.A.G with

Ms. Anis-ul Mawa, A.A.G with

Ms. Nazma Afreen, A.A.G

..... For the Respondents

Present:

Mr. Justice Mustafa Zaman Islam

And

Mr. Justice S M Masud Hossain Dolon

The 14th July, 2024

Let the supplementary affidavit do form part of the main application.

The petitioners have approached this court with the following prayers:-

“(i) Issue a Rule Nisi calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why a direction shall not be given to give or allow financial benefit or Honorium as monthly basis for the service of the field workers appointed by the petitioners on behalf of Jagonari Progati Sangstha (JNPS) to implement and to continue the awareness functions by purchasing Tikabiz which produced by the Pramisampad Adhudaptor in different rural arrears of the

“দেশপ্রেমের শপথ নিন, দুর্নীতিকে বিদায় দিন”



country according to the letter vide Memo No. 33.00.0000.118.15.014.22.174 date: 27 Baisakh 1430 (Annexure-C) and the 10 May 2023 letter vide Memo No. 33.01.0000.111.22.009 (10).21.1837 dated 24.07.2023 Kliri: (Annexure-D) issued under the signature of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.

(ii) Pass an order directing the respondent no. 1 to dispose of the petitioners application dated 11.06.2024 as evident as (Annexure-E) to the Writ Petition.

Mr. Syed Abdullah Al-Mamoon, the learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners filed application before the Respondent No. 1. But the Respondent No. 1 did not take any steps and did not dispose of the representation of the petitioners, as such, the petitioners seek direction upon the Respondent No. 1 to dispose of the petitioners representation.

Mr. Tushar Kanti Roy, the Deputy Attorney General appearing for the respondents oppose the application.

Heard the learned Advocate and perused the application. Having considered the statements made in the writ petition and the submissions made by the learned Advocate, we are not inclined to issue Rule at this stage, rather the ends of justice would be best served if we direct the Respondent No. 1 to dispose of the representation's of the petitioners dated 11.06.2024.

Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

The Respondent No. 1 is directed to dispose of the petitioners application dated 11.06.2024 as evident as (Annexure-E) to the supplementary affidavit within 60(sixty) days from the date of the receipt of this order in accordance with law.

Communicate the order to the respondents.

Mustafa Zaman Islam.

S M Masud Hossain Dolon.

Typed by: Monir:29.07.24.

Read by:

Exam by:

Readied by:

প্রত্যয়িত আবেদন প্রতিমা

২৭.৭.২৪

সহকারী রেজিস্ট্রার

বাংলাদেশ সুপ্রীম কোর্ট, হাইকোর্ট বিভাগ

এ.এস. এম সাইফুল্লাহ আল হোসেন ১৮৭২ ইং সনের ১নং আইনের

সুপারিনটেনডেন্ট

২৬, পাবনাতে ক্ষমতা প্রাপ্ত।